

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/378,678	MCCARTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond	3625	

All Participants:

(1) Robert M. Pond.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Jobe, #28,429.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007

Time: 3:15 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 13

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 13, "designate" changed to "designated"

Please note: subsequent to the interview, it was discovered claim 12 had a grammatical problem as noted in the Examiner's Amendment..